Original Article

ANATOLIAN CURRENT MEDICAL

DOI: 10.38053/acmj.1148859*

Anatolian Curr Med J 2022; 4(3); 326-327

# ERRATUM

# The validity and agreement of PI-RADS v2 in the diagnosis of prostate cancer

Şehnaz Tezcan[1], Ülkü Bekar[1], Müge Gürbüz Onbaşıoğlu[1], Giray Ergin[2]

[1]Koru Hospital, Department of Radiology, Ankara, Turkey
[2]Koru Hospital, Department of Urology, Ankara, Turkey

**Cite this article as**: Tezcan Ş, Bekar Ü, Gürbüz Onbaşıoğlu M, Ergin G. The validity and agreement of PI-RADS v2 in the diagnosis of prostate cancer. Anatolian Curr Med J 2022; 4(3); 326-327.

## ERRATUM

In the article with "**Tezcan Ş, Bekar Ü, Gürbüz Onbaşıoğlu M, Ergin G. The validity and agreement of PI-RADS v2 in the diagnosis of prostate cancer. Anatolian Curr Med J 2021; 3(4); 303-309**" citation information which was published (Date: 24.09.2021) in the fourth issue of third volume of Anatolian Current Medical Journal, authors noticed a misreport in the number of the included and excluded patients in the "Material and Method" section and **Figure 1**. Authors apologize to the readers for the mistake. In this paper, additional explanations and corrections are reported to remedy the mistake.

***Original Article DOI:** https://doi.org/10.38053/acmj.977881

## ERRATUM

1. The number of included and excluded patients in the study was inadvertently misspelled in the section of "Study Population" in the "Material and Method" on page 304. It is understood that some mistakes were made inadvertently in the writing of the sentences which were "146 patients with clinically suspected PC based on blood PSA or clinical examination with no prior biopsy or with prior negative biopsy who were underwent mpMRI between January 2017 and January 2020 were enrolled in this study. Of these patients, 41 patients who had not histopathologic evaluation in our hospital were excluded from this study." in this section. In those sentences, the total number of patients must be written as 246 patients instead of 146 patients and the number of excluded patients must be written as 141 patients instead of 41 patients. As a result, the sentences should have been as follows: "246 patients with clinically suspected PC based on blood PSA or clinical examination with no prior biopsy or with prior negative biopsy who were underwent mpMRI between January 2017 and January 2020 were enrolled in this study. Of these patients, 141 patients who had not histopathologic evaluation in our hospital were excluded from this study.". Consequently, those corrections do not affect the number of patients ultimately included, statistical analysis, results and conclusion of this study.
2. The number of included and excluded patients was also inadvertently misspelled in the flowchart showing the included and excluded patients in **Figure 1** on page 305. This flowchart was also corrected and the accurate form of the flowchart was shown in **Figure 1** below the text.

**Corresponding Author**: Şehnaz Tezcan, sehnazce@yahoo.com

**Received:** 02.08.2021 **Accepted:** 20.09.2021

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.




**Figure 1.** Flowchart of inclusion and exclusion criteria of study sample